



Current insights into the aetiology, pathobiology, and management of local disease recurrence in squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva

O'Neill, D; Nagenthiran, S; Dawson, Christopher; Luesley, David; Yap, Jason

DOI:

[10.1111/1471-0528.14560](https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.14560)

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

O'Neill, D, Nagenthiran, S, Dawson, C, Luesley, D & Yap, J 2017, 'Current insights into the aetiology, pathobiology, and management of local disease recurrence in squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva', *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.14560>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Yap JKW, O'Neill D, Nagenthiran S, Dawson CW, Luesley DM. Current insights into the aetiology, pathobiology, and management of local disease recurrence in squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. *BJOG* 2017; DOI: 10.1111/1471-0528.14560, which has been published in final form at 10.1111/1471-0528.14560. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 **Title page**

2 **Current insights into the aetiology, pathobiology and management of local disease recurrence in**
3 **squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva: a review paper**

4 **JKW Yap^{8Δ†}, D O'Neill[†], S Nagenthiran[†], CW Dawson^Δ, DM Luesley^{Δ†}**

5 **⁸Corresponding author:**

6 Dr Jason KW Yap

7 Institutes of Cancer and Genomic Sciences

8 College of Dental and Medical School

9 University of Birmingham

10 Birmingham B15 2TT

11 United Kingdom

12 Email: j.yap@bham.ac.uk

13 Tel: 0044 121 507 5337

14

15 **Affiliations:**

16 ^ΔInstitute of Cancer and Genomic Sciences

17 College of Dental and Medical School

18 University of Birmingham

19 Edgbaston B15 2TT

20 United Kingdom

21

22 [†]Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology

23 Birmingham City Hospital

24 Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust

25 Dudley Road

26 Birmingham B18 7QH

27 United Kingdom

28

29 **Running Title:** Local disease recurrence in vulval squamous cell carcinoma

30

31

32

33 **Abstract**

34 Squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva is predominantly a disease of the elderly, where the mainstay
35 of treatment is radical surgery. Local vulval recurrence (LVR) is a significant problem for these
36 patients, and the rates of recurrence have not improved over the last three decades. Disappointingly,
37 we still lack an understanding of how LVRs develop and the best approach to prevent and manage
38 the condition. This review discusses recent insights into the key prognostic factors that influence the
39 risk of recurrence, focusing on the role of tumour-adjacent non-neoplastic epithelial disorders,
40 which are thought to play a causative role.

41

42 **Main body of text**

43 **Background**

44 Vulval cancer comprises only 6% of all gynaecological malignancies reported in the UK, with
45 squamous cell carcinoma (VSCC) making up 90% of all cases. It is predominantly a disease of the
46 elderly with three-quarters of cases affecting those aged over 60 years ¹. Radical vulvectomy is the
47 mainstay of treatment for VSCC, with the extent of surgery depending on a number of factors that
48 include: the size of the tumour; its location and proximity to vital organs; fitness to tolerate major
49 surgery; FIGO stage; and wishes of the patient. Recurrent disease is common following primary
50 treatment in VSCC with more than half of the cases recur locally involving the vulvoperineal area ^{2,3}.
51 The rate of local vulval recurrence (LVR) has not changed over time and affects at least 1 in 4
52 patients following primary treatment ^{2,4}. Inadequate surgical excision has always been thought to be
53 the main reason attributed to the development of LVR, but this belief is increasingly being
54 challenged by new evidences ^{5,6}. Furthermore, a number of studies have showed that other
55 clinicopathological factors are equally important in determining the timing, pattern and frequency of
56 LVR following surgery; in particular, the presence of non-neoplastic but dysplastic epithelium found
57 adjacent to the primary tumour ⁷⁻¹⁰. The latter is of particular interest given that more than two-
58 thirds of VSCC cases arise in a background of histologically abnormal or dysplastic epithelium such as
59 vulval intraepithelial neoplasia (VIN) or Lichen Sclerosus (LS) ¹¹.

60 Managing LVR can be challenging especially in the elderly population who often have other medical
61 comorbidities and in those who have previously received extensive surgery or exposure to
62 radiotherapy. Further surgery is often associated with physical and psychosexual comorbidities and,
63 in some instances, can result in the loss of urinary and bowel functions. Disappointingly, we still lack
64 an understanding of how LVRs develop and the best approach to prevent and manage the condition.

65 This review discusses recent insights into the key prognostic factors that influence the risk of LVR
66 and focuses on the role of non-neoplastic epithelial disorders (NNEDs), which are thought to arise
67 from a field of molecularly altered epithelium termed a “field of cancerization”.

68 **The dual pathobiology of VSCC**

69 Like squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (HNSCC), VSCC is known to arise through HPV-
70 dependent and independent routes (see Figure 1). The current disease paradigm holds that
71 following persistent infection with high-risk (HR)-HPV strains, women are at risk of developing usual
72 or classical type vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (uVIN), which subsequently progress into basaloid or
73 warty type squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) ^{12 13}. It is estimated that 40% of all VSCC cases arise
74 through the viral-dependent route; interestingly, the prevalence of HR-HPV positive tumours is 20%
75 higher in the United States compared to the UK ¹⁴⁻¹⁹. Most cases of the tumour test positive for
76 HPV16 and, to a lesser extent, HPV18 and HPV33 ²⁰. HPV-associated tumours typically affect younger
77 women, aged <65 years, and the incidence in this age group is reportedly increasing in the UK and
78 elsewhere ¹. This increase is a reflection of the rising incidence of the precursor lesion, uVIN, in
79 young women, due in part, to the rise in the prevalence of infection with HR-HPV strains ²⁰. Although
80 women with uVIN often suffer debilitating physical and psychosexual symptoms, the risk of
81 progression to VSCC is substantially lower than that of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; current
82 estimates of disease progression are less than 10% ²¹.

83 The virus independent route is associated with the development of keratinising tumours in a
84 background of differentiated intraepithelial neoplasia (dVIN) or Lichen Sclerosus (LS) ^{12 13}. It is
85 thought that the primary trigger of carcinogenesis in this setting is chronic inflammation, which
86 results in repeated injury, scarring and ultimately, sclerosis of the affected epithelium. The sustained
87 episodes of cell renewal and repair, which accompanies chronically inflammation, are associated
88 with DNA damage and a high probability of mutation or silencing of tumour suppressor genes (TSGs),
89 which, over time can result in oncogenic transformation ²². Nevertheless, it remains unclear if LS
90 gives rise to dVIN as there is no clear-cut connection between the two conditions. Similarly, it is also
91 unclear whether dVIN, like uVIN, is a precursor lesion in HPV-negative VSCC. Women within this age
92 group are usually older (> 65 years) and critically they are also more likely to have other medical co-
93 morbidities, which may pose particular challenges in managing their cancer.

94 Although the current theory suggests that VSCC may arise through these two distinct pathways, our
95 recent study has shown that resected tumour specimens from almost a third of patients were found
96 to have LS, uVIN and dVIN co-existing with each other ⁷. This finding raises the question as to

whether the two routes to VSCC development are mutually exclusive. Understanding the underlying pathobiology which leads to the development of VSCC is crucial as many studies have found that the presence of NNEDs found adjacent to the primary tumour appears to influence the rate and pattern of local recurrence^{7-10 23 24}. Furthermore, in other HPV-associated cancers, such as HNSCC and anal cancer, there is compelling evidence to suggest that HPV-positivity confers a survival advantage. However, despite this clear-cut correlation in these two diseases, studies on VSCC have failed to demonstrate that HR-HPV positivity is an independent predictor of disease-free survival^{19 25-27}. The difficulty in revealing the expected association with HPV status in women with VSCC may flow in part from the frequency with which uVIN co-exists alongside LS and dVIN, both of which impose an increased risk of LVR development⁷. It is also worth noting that the detection HR-HPV DNA in tumour specimens does not necessarily indicate the presence of transcriptionally active virus given that the virus might have undergone integration, become methylated and transcriptionally silent²⁸. Alternatively, the presence of HR-HPV DNA might constitute a transient reactivation or new infection that is not necessarily related to viral-driven oncogenesis²⁹. Due to the complexity of the HPV life cycle, the significance of HR-HPV DNA positivity in VSCC remains unclear. Further studies are required to measure the levels of expression of the HR-HPV oncogenes and its surrogate markers (E7, p16^{INK4a} and MCM7); these biomarkers would confirm if oncogenesis is driven through the HR-HPV route.

Topography of VSCC recurrence

Like HNSCC, our recently published study, along with two others, has identified two different patterns of local recurrence in VSCC (Figure 2). A local vulval recurrence can occur on a site previously occupied by or distant to the primary tumour^{7 8 23}. This pattern of local recurrence was first described in SCC of the oral cavity and upper respiratory tract³⁰, and, like VSCC, the former can be derived from both HPV-dependent and HPV-independent routes. Molecular profiling of HNSCC has identified three distinctive patterns of local recurrence. Tumours that arise on a site previously occupied by the primary tumour are termed a local relapse (LR), and are thought to be a true local recurrence, while tumours that occur at least 2cm or more away from the primary tumour are termed second field tumours (SFT) or second primary tumours (SPT) and are thought to constitute new tumours that could be genetically related (SFT) or unrelated (SPT) to the primary tumour³¹. Although still speculative, it is thought that both SFT and SPT arise within an area of genetically altered pre-neoplastic epithelium contiguous with the primary tumour that has a propensity to undergo malignant transformation³².

Unlike HNSCC, a detailed examination of the topography of local recurrences in vulval cancer has not been adequately described. As such, very few retrospective cohort studies have attempted to categorise LVR based on the site and time at which the disease recurs following primary surgery. Bosquet *et al.* defined “recurrence” as a disease which relapses within five years of treatment while those that relapse after five years were termed a “re-occurrence”³³. Both Regauer *et al.* and Oonk *et al.* postulated that disease which recurs locally within 3 months of treatment is primarily due to treatment failure, while van der Velden *et al.* described a “true” local recurrence as a disease which recurs within 2cm of or “near” to the excision scar^{9 34 35}. However, it is important to note that the definitions of local recurrence used by these authors are purely hypothetical and based on observational studies and, unlike the case for HNSCC, were not based on molecular profiling.

Clinico-pathological determinants of LVR

Tumour-free pathological margins of 8mm or more, measured after formalin fixation, is considered to be the gold standard practice to minimise local disease recurrence. The current surgical practice advocates the removal of at least 15mm of disease-free tissue, lateral and deep margins, so that after fixation a ≥ 8 mm histological cancer-free margins can be achieved to avoid LVR³⁶. This recommendation is based on a study conducted by Heap *et al.* on a small retrospective cohort³⁷. The study found that none of the patients with pathological margins of ≥ 8 mm had recurrent disease, and local recurrence was only found in those with pathological margins of < 8 mm. While a number of independent studies support these findings^{23 38}, other more recent studies, which interrogated pathological margins in addition to other clinical-pathological determinants, dispute the notion that inadequate excision margin is the sole reason that contributes to LVR⁵⁻⁷. After an extensive review of the literature, we have identified 27 independent retrospective cohort studies which have assessed the clinicopathological factors that determine LVR (see Table 1). Collectively, these studies found, that in addition to inadequate excision margins, there were other clinical determinants that influenced the risk of LVR. These included: groin node metastasis; the presence of Lichen Sclerosus (LS) and vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (usual and differentiated type VIN) adjacent to the primary tumour; older age group; tumour size; tumour multifocality; histology grade; lymphovascular invasion (LVSI); perineural invasion; site of tumour; the type of surgery performed; and others^{4-7 9 11 23 33 34 37-52}. However, it remains unclear which of the risk factors best predict LVR, as each study identified different predictors, and none were in total agreement with each other.

The inconsistencies in the findings from each retrospective study can be attributed to a number of possibilities. Firstly, different methodologies were used in each study to collect and analyse its results; secondly, the majority of these studies were conducted in a single institution where clinical

practice in managing VSCC can be substantially different; thirdly, there was a lack of consistency in the clinical determinants used in each study; fourthly, the definition for LVR varies between each study and, at times, used interchangeably with distant metastasis; and lastly, there was lack of consensus in defining what constituted a true LVR. As a result, these studies failed to identify the common prognostic variable(s) involved in LVR. Taking into the account the limitations of these studies, we conducted an analysis of our cohort to evaluate all potential clinicopathological determinants previously implicated in the development of LVR ⁷. We also dichotomized local recurrences into LR or SFT/SPT, according to the definitions obtained from molecular studies on HNSCC. Interestingly, our results showed that more than half of the cases of local recurrence occurred at a site distant to the primary tumour; we also found that the presence of LS appeared to be the only clinical determinant that reliably predicts LVR. These patients were not at greater risk of developing distant metastasis when compared to other clinical determinants evaluated, suggesting that local disease recurrence probably occurs as a result of the ongoing chronic inflammatory dermatosis associated with the residual LS. Although we have yet to perform molecular profiling of the tumour specimens obtained in our study, we believe that LVR (both SFT and SPT) originate from a "field" of molecularly altered epithelium that has acquired the necessary genetic changes to undergo malignant change. Contrary to previous beliefs, they do not occur as a result of inadequate excision margins as described by Heap and colleagues. It is also worth highlighting that Heap et al. drew their inferences solely from unadjusted estimates, and their findings could be confounded by other clinicopathological variables that were not evaluated in their study.

Field cancerization and LVR

The concept of field cancerization was first proposed by Slaughter et al. in 1953, who studied the histology of dysplastic epithelial tissue at tumour-adjacent surgical margins in an attempt to explain the reason for the development of multiple primary tumours and local recurrence in the oral cavity and upper respiratory tract ³⁰. In the original study, histological examinations were performed on normal tissue at surgical margins adjacent to the tumour. This study revealed the presence of multiple independent primary lesions and evidence of hyperplastic or atypical epithelium in seemingly histologically normal tissue contiguous with the primary tumour. Since the development of molecular biology, the concept of field cancerization has now been redefined in molecular terms. Mutation or epigenetic silencing of growth promoting or tumour suppressor genes predisposes epithelium to undergo oncogenic transformation, allowing genetically altered cells to expand and colonise large areas of the epithelium. This phenomenon partly explains the multifocality of tumours, as secondary tumours or local recurrences, such as SFT and SPT, emerge some years later

after removal of the primary tumour. The multifocality and multicentricity of vulval neoplasia, its propensity to recur locally but at sites distant from the primary disease, point to this tumour arising within a field of cancerization in which at least some of the molecular abnormalities present in the primary tumour will be detected in adjacent histologically normal epithelium.

As more than two-thirds of VSCC arise on a background of atypical skin in the form of uVIN, dVIN, or LS¹¹, it is plausible that these non-neoplastic epithelial disorders arise from molecularly altered epithelium that is generated through virus-dependent and independent routes. As such, NNEDs may constitute pathological biomarkers which indicate the presence of a molecularly altered field of epithelium. In the case of uVIN, these lesions are derived from HR-HPV infected epithelium that has acquired additional molecular changes that have progressed to high-grade VIN. Several studies performed on HIV-infected women revealed the presence of multifocal HPV-associated warts and uVIN lesions/condylomata in the genital tract of HIV-positive women pointing to the existence of a cancer field in these patients^{53 54}. Using molecular analyses involving X chromosome inactivation, Rosenthal and colleagues revealed that high-grade VIN lesions contiguous with VSCC were of clonal origin, raising the possibility that these VSCCs were derived from molecularly altered clones within the VIN lesions⁵⁵. However, the question of whether HR-HPV infection *per se* generates a cancer field is currently unclear. Although data for VSCC is unavailable, a recent study performed in HNSCC has revealed that normal epithelium obtained from resection margins were uniformly HPV negative, suggesting that at least in this disease, HR-HPV may not generate a field of molecularly altered epithelium. This finding supports the notion that unlike HPV negative HNSCC, HR-HPV-positive HNSCC exhibits lower rates of local recurrence⁵⁶.

While uVIN is a putative precursor lesion for HPV-positive VSCC, it is still debatable whether LS is a precursor lesion for the HPV-negative counterpart. Although recent evidence shows that residual LS that remains after excision of the primary tumour increases the risk of local recurrence⁷⁻⁹, the absolute risk of recurrence in these women is not well defined. The notion that LS generates a field of cancerization, much like that observed in HPV-negative HNSCC, is a strong but as yet unproven concept. However, such an idea is not without foundation. It is now well established that chronic inflammation, coupled with sustained episodes of wound-healing, can predispose epithelial tissue to oncogenic transformation⁵⁷. It is still unclear whether inflammation plays a permissive or promoting function in the generation or expanding “initiated” (i.e. mutated) cells. Chronic inflammation is associated with abnormal cytokine and growth factor production which can fuel the expansion of molecularly altered or premalignant cells. A number of studies have shown that LS lesions overexpress p53 protein and, in a significant proportion of cases, harbour mutated TP53 genes^{22 58}

⁵⁹. The induction of p53 is most likely associated with a DNA damage response, induced through the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) or by ischaemic stress, both of which are produced during chronic inflammation. Increased levels of ROS are associated with the recruitment of the epigenetic modulator, DNMT1, to CpG-rich islands upstream of promoters of both growth regulatory (i.e. p16^{INK4A}) and genes involved in the DNA damage response ⁶⁰. Chronic or sustained bouts of inflammation also cause alterations to the underlying stroma, converting normal fibroblasts into myofibroblasts which produce cytokines, chemokines and growth factors that can promote the growth of pre-malignant epithelial cells. An overwhelming body of evidence now supports a key role of the stromal microenvironment in field cancerization and the development of both primary tumours and local recurrences ⁶⁰. This is particularly relevant as previous clinical studies which evaluated the risk of LVR following an en bloc vulvectomy, and a triple incision, showed no difference in risk despite the removal of less “normal” tissue in the latter ⁶¹⁻⁶⁴. Therefore, removing excessive non-neoplastic skin during primary surgery may not have prevented the development of LVR as the adjacent skin brought together to close the wound may have already undergone a “field transformation” that may eventually give rise to an LVR.

The challenges in managing local VSCC recurrence

The treatments for LVR have not changed over the last three decades, and surgical excision continues to be the only treatment modality for cure ^{2 65}. Surgery, however, may not be suitable for all patients and the procedures can be challenging especially in those who have previously had wide radical excision or radiotherapy. Reconstructive surgery is often required following primary excision to restore anatomy and function, as extensive scarring from previous surgery often reduces tissue volume and renders its flexibility to achieve primary closure. As a result, a skin flap is often harvested to cover the defect left after radical surgery. For a tumour which recurs and encroaches the urethra, anus or vaginal, pelvic exenteration followed by reconstructive surgery may be required to remove the disease completely if the patient is physically fit enough to undergo the operation. For those patients who previously had radiotherapy to their vulval, wound breakdown following subsequent surgery is common because irradiated skin often has an inadequate blood supply and a slow healing rate, making skin grafting unsuitable for most of them.

Squamous cell carcinomas, in general, are radiosensitive, but several studies have revealed poor treatment responses for large tumours when used alone without surgery ². However, radiotherapy alone has been used successfully to treat low volume disease which recurs in the vulva ⁶⁶. The use of concurrent chemotherapeutic agents such as 5-fluorouracil, Mitomycin C and platinum agents with irradiation have proved effective in managing large volume disease in patients who are radiotherapy

naïve or in those who are physically unfit for surgery⁶⁷. Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery is still superior to chemoradiotherapy alone in treating local recurrences, as overall survival is significantly better in those who can have surgery⁶⁷. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy may also be used to reduce the tumour volume before surgery, sparing those patients who required an exenterative surgery from having a simple radical excision; but defunctioning colostomy may be necessary in cases where the tumour recurs in close proximity to the anorectal canal². Nevertheless, as VSCC mostly affects the elderly population, only a small number of patients are physically fit enough to endure such forms of aggressive triple therapies that involve chemotherapy, radiation and surgery. As currently chemotherapeutic agents are used as an adjunct to radiotherapy or surgery and in palliative setting, there is a need to look for new chemotherapeutic drugs that can be used as a lone therapy for VSCC so that we are less reliant on surgery.

Conclusion

Currently, there is a paucity of knowledge regarding the timing, topography and aetiology of local VSCC recurrence. The notion that inadequate surgical excision margins are the driver for local recurrence is increasingly being challenged by studies utilising more sophisticated statistical analysis to evaluate the clinical determinants which predict LVR. Based on current evidence, we hypothesise that LVR arises within a field of molecularly altered epithelium that is generated as a result of chronic inflammation or infection with oncogenic HPV strains. We suggest that LVRs develop in a pre-existing field of molecularly altered epithelium from clones that have acquired the necessary mutations to undergo malignant transformation. Future studies should utilise molecular profiling techniques to identify the molecular changes present in these pre-cancerous fields so that potential biomarkers or gene signatures can be determined, and these used to stratify patients into those who are most likely at risk of developing local recurrences. Unlike HNSCC, the contiguous nature and ease of accessibility of the vulva made this organ an ideal model to study how the field of cancerization develops and the key molecular changes that predispose cells within the field to tumour formation. This analysis would allow us to develop field therapies that could be administered short- or long-term to delay or prevent local VSCC recurrence. In the case of LS-associated VSCC, where chronic inflammation appears to play a vital role in disease pathology and tumour recurrence, the use of topical steroids may prevent or delay local recurrences by reducing inflammation and re-establishing a more "normal" stromal microenvironment.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Disclosure of Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest

Contribution to Authorship

JKWY, CWD and DML conceived the idea for the review, participated in its design and coordination, and provided final approval of the version to be published. JKWY, DO and SN performed a systematic review of the literature. JKWY and DO wrote the paper. CWD and DML critically reviewed the manuscript and contributed intellectual opinion. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Details of ethics approval

Not applicable

Funding

Cancer Research UK (CRUK) Clinical Research Training Fellowship funding to JKWY

References

1. Cancer Research UK Cancer Statistics. Vulval cancer incidence statistics. <http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/vulval-cancer/incidence>
2. Coulter J, Gleeson N. Local and regional recurrence of vulval cancer: management dilemmas. *Best practice & research. Clinical obstetrics & gynaecology* 2003;17(4):663-81.
3. Salom EM, Penalver M. Recurrent vulvar cancer. *Curr Treat Options Oncol* 2002;3(2):143-53.
4. Fonseca-Moutinho JA, Coelho MC, Silva DP. Vulvar squamous cell carcinoma. Prognostic factors for local recurrence after primary en bloc radical vulvectomy and bilateral groin dissection. *The Journal of reproductive medicine* 2000;45(8):672-8.
5. Woelber L, Choschzick M, Eulenburg C, Hager M, Jaenicke F, Giesecking F, et al. Prognostic value of pathological resection margin distance in squamous cell cancer of the vulva. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2011;18(13):3811-8.
6. Groenen SM, Timmers PJ, Burger CW. Recurrence rate in vulvar carcinoma in relation to pathological margin distance. *International journal of gynecological cancer : official journal of the International Gynecological Cancer Society* 2010;20(5):869-73.
7. Yap JK, Fox R, Leonard S, Ganesan R, Kehoe ST, Dawson CW, et al. Adjacent Lichen Sclerosus predicts local recurrence and second field tumour in women with vulvar squamous cell carcinoma. *Gynecologic oncology* 2016;142(3):420-6.

- 328 8. Tantipalakorn C, Robertson G, Marsden DE, Gebiski V, Hacker NF. Outcome and patterns of
329 recurrence for International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) stages I and II
330 squamous cell vulvar cancer. *Obstetrics and gynecology* 2009;113(4):895-901.
- 331 9. Regauer S. Residual anogenital lichen sclerosus after cancer surgery has a high risk for
332 recurrence: a clinicopathological study of 75 women. *Gynecologic oncology*
333 2011;123(2):289-94.
- 334 10. Preti M, Ronco G, Ghiringhello B, Micheletti L. Recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the
335 vulva: clinicopathologic determinants identifying low risk patients. *Cancer* 2000;88(8):1869-
336 76.
- 337 11. Eva LJ, Ganesan R, Chan KK, Honest H, Luesley DM. Differentiated-type vulval intraepithelial
338 neoplasia has a high-risk association with vulval squamous cell carcinoma. *International*
339 *journal of gynecological cancer : official journal of the International Gynecological Cancer*
340 *Society* 2009;19(4):741-4.
- 341 12. Kurman RJ, Toki T, Schiffman MH. Basaloid and warty carcinomas of the vulva. Distinctive
342 types of squamous cell carcinoma frequently associated with human papillomaviruses. *The*
343 *American journal of surgical pathology* 1993;17(2):133-45.
- 344 13. Ueda Y, Enomoto T, Kimura T, Yoshino K, Fujita M. Two distinct pathways to development of
345 squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. *J Skin Cancer* 2011;2011:951250.
- 346 14. Knopp S, Nesland JM, Trope C, Holm R. p14ARF, a prognostic predictor in HPV-negative
347 vulvar carcinoma. *American journal of clinical pathology* 2006;126(2):266-76.
- 348 15. Iwasawa A, Nieminen P, Lehtinen M, Paavonen J. Human papillomavirus in squamous cell
349 carcinoma of the vulva by polymerase chain reaction. *Obstetrics and gynecology*
350 1997;89(1):81-4.
- 351 16. Pinto AP, Schlecht NF, Pintos J, Kaiano J, Franco EL, Crum CP, et al. Prognostic significance of
352 lymph node variables and human papillomavirus DNA in invasive vulvar carcinoma.
353 *Gynecologic oncology* 2004;92(3):856-65.
- 354 17. Skapa P, Zamecnik J, Hamsikova E, Salakova M, Smahelova J, Jandova K, et al. Human
355 papillomavirus (HPV) profiles of vulvar lesions: possible implications for the classification of
356 vulvar squamous cell carcinoma precursors and for the efficacy of prophylactic HPV
357 vaccination. *The American journal of surgical pathology* 2007;31(12):1834-43.
- 358 18. Lee YY, Wilczynski SP, Chumakov A, Chih D, Koeffler HP. Carcinoma of the vulva: HPV and
359 p53 mutations. *Oncogene* 1994;9(6):1655-9.

- 360 19. Lindell G, Nasman A, Jonsson C, Ehrsson RJ, Jacobsson H, Danielsson KG, et al. Presence of
361 human papillomavirus (HPV) in vulvar squamous cell carcinoma (VSCC) and sentinel node.
362 *Gynecologic oncology* 2010;117(2):312-6.
- 363 20. De Vuyst H, Clifford GM, Nascimento MC, Madeleine MM, Franceschi S. Prevalence and type
364 distribution of human papillomavirus in carcinoma and intraepithelial neoplasia of the vulva,
365 vagina and anus: a meta-analysis. *International journal of cancer. Journal international du*
366 *cancer* 2009;124(7):1626-36.
- 367 21. van Seters M, van Beurden M, de Craen AJ. Is the assumed natural history of vulvar
368 intraepithelial neoplasia III based on enough evidence? A systematic review of 3322
369 published patients. *Gynecologic oncology* 2005;97(2):645-51.
- 370 22. Carlson JA, Ambros R, Malfetano J, Ross J, Grabowski R, Lamb P, et al. Vulvar lichen sclerosus
371 and squamous cell carcinoma: a cohort, case control, and investigational study with
372 historical perspective; implications for chronic inflammation and sclerosis in the
373 development of neoplasia. *Human pathology* 1998;29(9):932-48.
- 374 23. Rouzier R, Haddad B, Plantier F, Dubois P, Pelisse M, Paniel BJ. Local relapse in patients
375 treated for squamous cell vulvar carcinoma: incidence and prognostic value. *Obstetrics and*
376 *gynecology* 2002;100(6):1159-67.
- 377 24. Spiryda LB, Fuller AF, Goodman A. Aggressive locally recurrent vulvar cancer: review of cases
378 presented to Massachusetts General Hospital 1990 to present. *International journal of*
379 *gynecological cancer : official journal of the International Gynecological Cancer Society*
380 2005;15(5):884-9.
- 381 25. Pinto AP, Signorello LB, Crum CP, Harlow BL, Abrao F, Villa LL. Squamous cell carcinoma of
382 the vulva in Brazil: prognostic importance of host and viral variables. *Gynecologic oncology*
383 1999;74(1):61-7.
- 384 26. Monk BJ, Burger RA, Lin F, Parham G, Vasilev SA, Wilczynski SP. Prognostic significance of
385 human papillomavirus DNA in vulvar carcinoma. *Obstetrics and gynecology* 1995;85(5 Pt
386 1):709-15.
- 387 27. Bloss JD, Liao SY, Wilczynski SP, Macri C, Walker J, Peake M, et al. Clinical and histologic
388 features of vulvar carcinomas analyzed for human papillomavirus status: evidence that
389 squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva has more than one etiology. *Human pathology*
390 1991;22(7):711-8.
- 391 28. Leonard SM, Wei W, Collins SI, Pereira M, Diyaf A, Constandinou-Williams C, et al. Oncogenic
392 human papillomavirus imposes an instructive pattern of DNA methylation changes which

393 parallel the natural history of cervical HPV infection in young women. *Carcinogenesis*
394 2012;33(7):1286-93.

395 29. Leonard SM, Pereira M, Roberts S, Cuschieri K, Nuovo G, Athavale R, et al. Evidence of
396 disrupted high-risk human papillomavirus DNA in morphologically normal cervixes of older
397 women. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:20847.

398 30. Slaughter DP, Southwick HW, Smejkal W. Field cancerization in oral stratified squamous
399 epithelium; clinical implications of multicentric origin. *Cancer* 1953;6(5):963-8.

400 31. Braakhuis BJ, Brakenhoff RH, Leemans CR. Second field tumors: a new opportunity for
401 cancer prevention? *Oncologist* 2005;10(7):493-500.

402 32. Dakubo GD, Jakupciak JP, Birch-Machin MA, Parr RL. Clinical implications and utility of field
403 cancerization. *Cancer Cell Int* 2007;7:2.

404 33. Gonzalez Bosquet J, Magrina JF, Gaffey TA, Hernandez JL, Webb MJ, Cliby WA, et al. Long-
405 term survival and disease recurrence in patients with primary squamous cell carcinoma of
406 the vulva. *Gynecologic oncology* 2005;97(3):828-33.

407 34. van der Velden J, Schilthuis MS, Hyde SE, Ten Kate FJ, Burger MP. Squamous cell cancer of
408 the vulva with occult lymph node metastases in the groin: the impact of surgical technique
409 on recurrence pattern and survival. *International journal of gynecological cancer : official
410 journal of the International Gynecological Cancer Society* 2004;14(4):633-8.

411 35. Oonk MH, de Hullu JA, Hollema H, Mourits MJ, Pras E, Wymenga AN, et al. The value of
412 routine follow-up in patients treated for carcinoma of the vulva. *Cancer* 2003;98(12):2624-9.

413 36. Royal College of Obstetrician and Gynaecologist: Guidelines for the diagnosis and
414 management of vulval cancer; 2014.

415 37. Heaps JM, Fu YS, Montz FJ, Hacker NF, Berek JS. Surgical-pathologic variables predictive of
416 local recurrence in squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. *Gynecologic oncology*
417 1990;38(3):309-14.

418 38. Chan JK, Sugiyama V, Pham H, Gu M, Rutgers J, Osann K, et al. Margin distance and other
419 clinico-pathologic prognostic factors in vulvar carcinoma: a multivariate analysis.
420 *Gynecologic oncology* 2007;104(3):636-41.

421 39. Sznurkowski JJ, Emerich J. Characteristic features of recurrences of squamous cell carcinoma
422 of the vulva. *Ginekol Pol* 2010;81(1):12-9.

423 40. Larsson GL, Helenius G, Andersson S, Elgh F, Sorbe B, Karlsson MG. Human papillomavirus
424 (HPV) and HPV 16-variant distribution in vulvar squamous cell carcinoma in Sweden.
425 *International journal of gynecological cancer : official journal of the International
426 Gynecological Cancer Society* 2012;22(8):1413-9.

- 427 41. Stankevica J, Macuks R, Baidekalna I, Donina S. Midline involvement as a risk factor for
428 vulvar cancer recurrence. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP*
429 2012;13(10):5237-40.
- 430 42. Woolderink JM, de Bock GH, de Hullu JA, Davy MJ, van der Zee AG, Mourits MJ. Patterns and
431 frequency of recurrences of squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. *Gynecologic oncology*
432 2006;103(1):293-9.
- 433 43. Holthoff ER, Jeffus SK, Gehlot A, Stone R, Erickson SW, Kelly T, et al. Perineural Invasion Is an
434 Independent Pathologic Indicator of Recurrence in Vulvar Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *The*
435 *American journal of surgical pathology* 2015;39(8):1070-4.
- 436 44. Ayhan A, Velipasaoglu M, Salman MC, Guven S, Gultekin M, Bayraktar O. Prognostic factors
437 for recurrence and survival in primary vulvar squamous cell cancer. *Acta Obstet Gynecol*
438 *Scand* 2008;87(11):1143-9.
- 439 45. Cheng X, Zang R, Wu X, Li Z, Cai S, Zhang Z. Recurrence patterns and prognostic factors in
440 Chinese patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva treated with primary surgery.
441 *International journal of gynecological cancer : official journal of the International*
442 *Gynecological Cancer Society* 2009;19(1):158-62.
- 443 46. De Hullu JA, Hollema H, Lolkema S, Boezen M, Boonstra H, Burger MP, et al. Vulvar carcinoma.
444 The price of less radical surgery. *Cancer* 2002;95(11):2331-8.
- 445 47. Lingard D, Free K, Wright RG, Battistutta D. Invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva:
446 behaviour and results in the light of changing management regimens. A review of
447 clinicohistological features predictive of regional lymph node involvement and local
448 recurrence. *The Australian & New Zealand journal of obstetrics & gynaecology*
449 1992;32(2):137-45.
- 450 48. Look KY, Reisinger M, Stehman FB, Miser M, Ehrlich CE, Sutton GP. Blood transfusion and the
451 risk of recurrence in squamous carcinoma of the vulva. *American journal of obstetrics and*
452 *gynecology* 1993;168(6 Pt 1):1718-21; discussion 21-3.
- 453 49. Maggino T, Landoni F, Sartori E, Zola P, Gadducci A, Alessi C, et al. Patterns of recurrence in
454 patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. A multicenter CTF Study. *Cancer*
455 2000;89(1):116-22.
- 456 50. Yoder BJ, Rufforny I, Massoll NA, Wilkinson EJ. Stage IA vulvar squamous cell carcinoma: an
457 analysis of tumor invasive characteristics and risk. *The American journal of surgical*
458 *pathology* 2008;32(5):765-72.

- 459 51. Iacoponi S, Zapardiel I, Diestro MD, Hernandez A, De Santiago J. Prognostic factors
460 associated with local recurrence in squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. *J Gynecol Oncol*
461 2013;24(3):242-8.
- 462 52. Woelber L, Mahner S, Voelker K, Eulenburg CZ, Giesecking F, Choschzick M, et al.
463 Clinicopathological prognostic factors and patterns of recurrence in vulvar cancer.
464 *Anticancer research* 2009;29(2):545-52.
- 465 53. Chiasson MA, Ellerbrock TV, Bush TJ, Sun XW, Wright TC, Jr. Increased prevalence of
466 vulvovaginal condyloma and vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia in women infected with the
467 human immunodeficiency virus. *Obstetrics and gynecology* 1997;89(5 Pt 1):690-4.
- 468 54. Moodley JR, Constant D, Hoffman M, Salimo A, Allan B, Rybicki E, et al. Human
469 papillomavirus prevalence, viral load and pre-cancerous lesions of the cervix in women
470 initiating highly active antiretroviral therapy in South Africa: a cross-sectional study. *BMC*
471 *cancer* 2009;9:275.
- 472 55. Rosenthal AN, Ryan A, Hopster D, Jacobs IJ. Molecular evidence of a common clonal origin
473 and subsequent divergent clonal evolution in vulval intraepithelial neoplasia, vulval
474 squamous cell carcinoma and lymph node metastases. *International journal of cancer.*
475 *Journal international du cancer* 2002;99(4):549-54.
- 476 56. Rietbergen MM, Braakhuis BJ, Moukhtari N, Bloemena E, Brink A, Sie D, et al. No evidence
477 for active human papillomavirus (HPV) in fields surrounding HPV-positive oropharyngeal
478 tumors. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2014;43(2):137-42.
- 479 57. Leemans CR, Braakhuis BJ, Brakenhoff RH. The molecular biology of head and neck cancer.
480 *Nat Rev Cancer* 2011;11(1):9-22.
- 481 58. Rolfe KJ, MacLean AB, Crow JC, Benjamin E, Reid WM, Perrett CW. TP53 mutations in vulval
482 lichen sclerosis adjacent to squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. *British journal of cancer*
483 2003;89(12):2249-53.
- 484 59. Vanin K, Scurry J, Thorne H, Yuen K, Ramsay RG. Overexpression of wild-type p53 in lichen
485 sclerosis adjacent to human papillomavirus-negative vulvar cancer. *J Invest Dermatol*
486 2002;119(5):1027-33.
- 487 60. Dotto GP, Rustgi AK. Squamous Cell Cancers: A Unified Perspective on Biology and Genetics.
488 *Cancer Cell* 2016;29(5):622-37.
- 489 61. Flannelly GM, Foley ME, Lenehan PM, Kelehan P, Murphy JF, Stronge J. En bloc radical
490 vulvectomy and lymphadenectomy with modifications of separate groin incisions. *Obstetrics*
491 *and gynecology* 1992;79(2):307-9.

492 62. Scheistroen M, Nesland JM, Trope C. Have patients with early squamous carcinoma of the
493 vulva been overtreated in the past? The Norwegian experience 1977-1991. *Eur J Gynaecol*
494 *Oncol* 2002;23(2):93-103.

495 63. Farias-Eisner R, Cirisano FD, Grouse D, Leuchter RS, Karlan BY, Lagasse LD, et al. Conservative
496 and individualized surgery for early squamous carcinoma of the vulva: the treatment of
497 choice for stage I and II (T1-2N0-1M0) disease. *Gynecologic oncology* 1994;53(1):55-8.

498 64. Siller BS, Alvarez RD, Conner WD, McCullough CH, Kilgore LC, Partridge EE, et al. T2/3 vulva
499 cancer: a case-control study of triple incision versus en bloc radical vulvectomy and inguinal
500 lymphadenectomy. *Gynecologic oncology* 1995;57(3):335-9.

501 65. Simonsen E. Treatment of recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. *Acta Radiol Oncol*
502 1984;23(5):345-8.


503 66. Prempre T, Amornmarn R. Radiation management of squamous cell carcinoma of the
504 bladder. *Acta Radiol Oncol* 1984;23(1):37-42.

505 67. Landoni F, Maneo A, Zanetta G, Colombo A, Nava S, Placa F, et al. Concurrent preoperative
506 chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil and mitomycin C and radiotherapy (FUMIR) followed by
507 limited surgery in locally advanced and recurrent vulvar carcinoma. *Gynecologic oncology*
508 1996;61(3):321-7.

509

510

Natural history of VSCC



Sites of Local recurrences




Table 1: Clinico-pathological determinants associated with local VSCC recurrence (LVR)

Author	Year	Cohort size (n)	Location of LVR (n)	Determinants associated with LVR
Yap <i>et al.</i> [7]	2016	201	LVR= 66 episodes, LR= 29 episodes; SFT= 26 episodes	LR and SFT: Lichen Sclerosis
Holthoff <i>et al.</i> [43]	2015	94	LR ^a recurrence in primary tumour = 31 'recurrent tumour' = 9	Perineural invasion
Iacoponi <i>et al.</i> [51]	2013	87	LR ^b = 23	Tumour size
Larsson <i>et al.</i> [40]	2012	133	LR ^c = 31	None identified
Stankevica <i>et al.</i> [41]	2012	107	LR ^a = 65	Site of primary cancer (midline disease)
Woelber* <i>et al.</i> [5]	2011	102	LR ^a = 10	Excision margins not significant
Regauer [9]	2011	75	LR ^d = 35	Presence of Lichen Sclerosus adjacent to main tumour
Sznurkowski <i>et al.</i> [39]	2010	59	LR ^a =10	Multifocal disease
Groenen <i>et al.</i> [6]	2010	93	LR ^a = 18	Excision margins not significant
Tantipalakorn <i>et al.</i> [8]	2009	121	LR=26 (primary ^h =13, remote ⁱ =13)	Primary recurrence= excision margins<8mm; remote recurrence= Presence of Lichen Sclerosus adjacent to main tumour
Woelber* <i>et al.</i> [52]	2009	103	LR ^c = 8	None identified
Cheng <i>et al.</i> [45]	2009	100	LR ^a = 20	Lymphovascular invasion, lymph node metastasis
Eva <i>et al.</i> [11]	2008	200	LR ^a = 34 (estimated)	Presence of dVIN adjacent to main tumour
Ayhan <i>et al.</i> [44]	2008	91	LR ^a = 8	Surgery type, lymph node metastasis, advanced stage disease, ulcerative lesion, tumour size
Yoder <i>et al.</i> [50]	2008	78	LR ^e = 11	Histological grade, incomplete resection, depth of invasion
Chan <i>et al.</i> [38]	2007	90	LR= 13	Excision margins, groin node metastasis
Woolderink <i>et al.</i> [42]	2006	125	LR ^a = 29	Age >74 years
Bosquet <i>et al.</i> [33]	2005	330	LR ^g = 64 (30= reoccurrence; 34= recurrence)	Recurrence: Inguinal nodal metastasis; Re-occurrence: None identified
Van der Velden <i>et al.</i> [34]	2004	76	LR ^h = 15	Triple incision (vs en bloc)
Rouzier <i>et al.</i> [23]	2002	215	LR ⁱ = 13; distant recurrence= 13, Skin bridge recurrence= 7	Depth of invasion, incomplete resection margins
De Hullu <i>et al.</i> [46]	2002	253	LR ^a = 18 at 2 years; 32 at 4 years	Excision margins <8mm
Maggino <i>et al.</i> [49]	2000	502	'perineal' =94	FIGO stage, lymph node metastasis, Lymphovascular space invasion
Preti <i>et al.</i> [10]	2000	101	LR ^a = 18	VIN 2/3, FIGO stage, multifocal disease , lymphovascular space invasion, incomplete tumour resection
Fonseca-Moutinho <i>et al.</i> [4]	2000	56	LR ^a = 11 at 2 years LR ^a 15 at 5 years	FIGO stage IVa, groin node metastasis
Look <i>et al.</i> [48]	1993	154	'recurrence' ^k = 25	Lymph node metastasis
Lingard <i>et al.</i> [47]	1992	90	LR ^a = 16	Multifocal disease, tumour size (stage), inadequate excision margins
Heaps <i>et al.</i> [37]	1990	135	LR ^a = 21	Excision margins <8mm, depth of invasion, tumour thickness, lymphovascular space invasion, keratinizing tumour, mitotic activity

*Potential duplication of cohorts; LR – local recurrence, LVR – local vulvar recurrence, SFT – second field tumour

^asite of LR not defined; ^bthe appearance of tumour in a new location after treatment, or in the same location after a minimum disease-free period of 6 months; ^crecurrence defined as 'vulva'; ^dde novo: >3 months after definitive surgery; ^eLR defined as tumour recur at a site remote from initial tumour; ^fstatistical analysis of recurrence included distant metastasis; ^grecurrence: development of SCC in a previously treated vulva/groin within 5 years, reoccurrence: development of SCC in vulva/groin after 5 years; ^hLR: at or near the site of vulvectomy scar; ⁱprimary tumor site recurrence (up to and including 2 cm from the vulvectomy scar); ^jLR: >2cm from vulvectomy scar; ^k'recurrence' was defined as new appearance of tumour after therapy with radical intent, unsure if also encompassed distant recurrence

